



BYOD-Learning

Project title: **BYOD-Learning:
Learning at Any Time, at Any Place via any Device**
Reference number: **2021-1-PL01-KA220-SCH-000034458**
Implementation period: **01/01/2022 – 31/12/2023**

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



EVALUATION REPORT BYOD project TPM 2

10. 09. 2022
Plovdiv



October, 2022



DISCLAIMER: The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Table of Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------------------|----|
| Introduction | 3 |
| Evaluation purpose and Methodology | 4 |
| Findings | 5 |
| Content and work in the meeting | 5 |
| Organisation of the Meeting..... | 9 |
| The current status of the project | 10 |
| Concerns (Open questions) | 13 |
| Conclusions and Recommendations | 15 |
| Annex | 16 |
| Questions in the Evaluation form | 16 |



Introduction

The transnational meeting took place in Plovdiv on 10/09/2022 hosted by the partner in the BYOD project – The University of Plovdiv.

It was a physical meeting that took place in the facilities of the Faculty of Mathematics, with representatives from each partner organization attending:

| Organization | Country | Representative |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pedagogical University of Krakow | Poland | 1. Daniel Wójcik 2. Magdalena Lampa-Baczyńska |
| University of Plovdiv | Bulgaria | 1. Dobrinka Boykina 2. Sava Grozdev |
| Cyprus Mathematical Society | Cyprus | 1. Gregory Makrides 2. Dafni Kampani |
| 5 th Ivan Vazov | Bulgaria | 1. Hristo Zhelev 2. Adriana Stoyanova |
| AMETA | North Macedonia | 1. Hristina Leova 2. Mariche Koleva 3. Angjel Leov 4. Aleksandar Kolev |
| Doukas | Greece | 1. Elpiniki Margariti 2. Thomas Oikonomou |
| IES Arcebispo Xelmírez II | Spain | 1. José Luis Martín Iglesias 2. Moisés Iglesias González |
| Skybridge | Greece | 1. Eleni Chalkiadaki |

There were 17 participants physically attending.

The meeting was organized according to a previously designed Agenda, known by the participants two weeks prior the meeting, and it discussed in details the realization and work plans of Results 1, 2, and 3. The emphasis was put on the design of the Learning platform by Skybridge.



Evaluation purpose and Methodology

This evaluation report on the meeting provides important information about its quality and these results will be compared to the qualitative and quantitative indicators set in the project proposal. Moreover, the partners will be able to reflect on the initial goals, to improve their performance, and provide detailed feedback in future.

The [evaluation form](#) was designed in Google Forms and sent to the partnership via email during the meeting. There are 15 responses from 8 partner organizations.

| Organization | Country | Responders |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Pedagogical University of Krakow | Poland | 2 |
| Cyprus Mathematical Society | Cyprus | 2 |
| University of Plovdiv | Bulgaria | 1 |
| AMETA | North Macedonia | 4 |
| Doukas | Greece | 2 |
| Skybridge | Greece | 1 |
| 5 th Ivan Vazov | Bulgaria | 1 |
| IES Arcebispo Xelmírez II | Spain | 2 |

The form is structured in 4 different sections, each covering questions relevant to the meeting itself and to the project in general:

1. Content and work in the meeting
2. Organisation of the Meeting
3. The current status of the project
4. Concerns (Open questions)



Findings


The 15 responses to the evaluation form were analyzed and the statistical and descriptive findings are shown as follows:

Content and work in the meeting

The agenda of the meeting was very well balanced focusing on all key aspects of the project




15 responses



The meeting reached its objectives



15 responses






The discussions were constructive and focused on concrete solutions




15 responses



Everybody had ample opportunities to express themselves




15 responses



The meeting enabled me to understand better the challenges faced by the other countries



15 responses






I understand much better the tasks ahead and the role of my institution/ organization in the future activities




15 responses



The upcoming timeline and milestones were clearly presented



15 responses




One of the questions is in a form of a statement about the attendees' level of understanding of the information provided during the meeting, with several sub questions:

a) The Activities of the project and the linkage with the Results



15 responses






b) The interactions and links between the different activities




15 responses



c) The further time framework and deadlines to be respected by all the partners




15 responses



d) The contribution of each partner as to the horizontal activities



15 responses






e) The deliverables each partner has to produce or to contribute to going forward



15 responses




Organisation of the Meeting

The meeting was very well organized.




15 responses



The participants received well on time all information



15 responses






The meeting room and its facilities facilitated the work during the meeting



15 responses




The current status of the project

The products/ results already produced/ identified are contributing to the Aims and Objectives




15 responses



The products/ results already produced/ identified are in the context of the work plan and in agreement to the proposed timetable



15 responses






The obstacles/ risks identified up to this point have been handled adequately




15 responses



The management of the budget up to this point is reasonable




15 responses



The overall management of the project is adequate



15 responses






The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) on the part of my institution are adequate and satisfactory




15 responses



The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) by all the partners in the project have been acknowledged adequately.




15 responses



The evidence I have concerning the impact of the expected results is satisfactory



15 responses





Concerns (Open questions)

The meeting enabled me to clear up questions I previously had on, such as:

11 responses

Functionality of the platform and registration

Didn't have

Pilot testing.

The deadlines and the activities for R2 and R3

Uploading videos on platform

the step by step work flow and content of work

Yes

The functionality of the platform

The methodological and pedagogical approach to design the products (videos). Technical needs and requirements for the platform where the materials will be located.

The following issues/elements are still a major concern to me:

13 responses

Desining and functionality of the platform

Registration of teachers/students, uploading videos on platform

the final version of the platform

Deadlines

The platform

Quality and functionality of the platform

The evolution of the e-platform

Technical details (I understand that they are to be decided) about the different modes of registration in the platform (e.g. teachers and students) and regarding different ways to use the materials (e.g. free access and special access for specific students to follow the teacher's course).



I believe that the major obstacle/ barrier in this project for the near future will be:

13 responses

The platform need to be ready soon so that partners will start the pilots

Functionalaity of the platform and registration

the functionality and interface of the platform

Deadlines

There will be no major obstacles

Attracting teachers to upload learning videos of their classes

The main obstacle in this moment and for the near future is the status of the platform and its features

As it was discussed in the meeting, it is important to ensure that all contents uploaded to the platform are good quality examples and are useful for the students and the teachers.

Any other comments?:

6 responses

/

N/A

Thank you Plovdiv university for hosting us! Excellent organisation

No

Finally everything will be managed



Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the results and data visualization of the evaluation form responses we can conclude that the meeting was successful, and the participants were satisfied, regarding the organization and content of the meeting. The partners understood the next actions to be made related to the realization of the Result 1 but have concerns about the structure of platform that will host the designed videos. The expressed concerns will be addressed during the following online meetings with the partners.

This QAC report with evaluation of complies with the indicators that are set in the project proposal related to the meetings.

In order to get feedback that is more detailed, relevant results and information that is more precise we recommend each attendee on the meeting to fill in the evaluation questionnaires.



Annex

Questions in the Evaluation form

Criteria for assessment of the level of satisfaction:

(1 = I totally disagree, 2 = I disagree, 3 = I agree, 4 = I totally agree)

- Name?
- Partner Organization?

1. Content and work in the meeting

- The agenda of the meeting was very well balanced focusing on all key aspects of the project
- The meeting reached its objectives
- The discussions were constructive and focused on concrete solutions
- Everybody had ample opportunities to express themselves
- The meeting enabled me to understand better the challenges faced by the other countries
- I understand much better the tasks ahead and the role of my institution/ organization in the future activities
- The upcoming timeline and milestones were clearly presented

The information given helped me better understand...

- a) The Activities of the project and the linkage with the Intellectual Outputs
- b) The interactions and links between the different activities
- c) The further time framework and deadlines to be respected by all the partners
- d) The contribution of each partner as to the horizontal activities
- e) The deliverables each partner has to produce or to contribute to going forward

2. Organisation of the Meeting

- The meeting was very well organized
- The participants received well on time all information
- The meeting room and its facilities facilitated the work during the meeting



- The social programme and the meals were good opportunities to get to know many colleagues better.

3. The current status of the project

- The products/ outputs already produced/ identified are contributing to the Aims and Objectives
- The products/ outputs already produced/ identified are in the context of the work plan and in agreement to the proposed timetable
- The obstacles/ risks identified up to this point have been handled adequately
- The management of the budget up to this point is reasonable
- The overall management of the project is adequate
- The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) on the part of my institution are adequate and satisfactory
- The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) by all the partners in the project have been acknowledged adequately
- The evidence I have concerning the impact of the expected results is satisfactory

4. Concerns (Open questions - Fill in what is relevant for you)

- The meeting enabled me to clear up questions I previously had on, such as:
- The following issues/elements are still a major concern to me:
- I believe that the major obstacle/ barrier in this project for the near future will be:
- Any other comments?:
- Thank you for your answers!